

Standard:	Guideline for the Use of Water in Labour and Birth
Approved By:	CMNL
Date Approved:	March 2020
Date to be Reviewed:	March 2023
Effective Date:	March 2020



## CMNL Guideline for the Use of Water in Labour and Birth

### Introduction

The therapeutic properties of warm water immersion have been known for centuries. Baths and showers have been used for comfort during labour for many years. Over the past decades, immersion in water for the birth of the baby has aroused interest in many countries. An increase in the number of individuals requesting this option for both hospital and out-of-hospital births is occurring.

CMNL has reviewed the best available evidence and offers this guideline to assist midwives and individuals in their decision-making process around the use of water immersion for labour and birth. The body of evidence in this area is growing.

Maternal and neonatal outcomes after water immersion for labour and birth have been assessed in two large surveys over a four-year period in England and Wales (Alderdice, Renfrew & Marchant, 1995; Gilbert & Tookey, 1999). Researchers reviewed 4693 and 4032 births where water immersion was used and found no difference in outcomes for individuals and their newborns compared to a cohort group of low-risk individuals who did not use water.

Admissions to special-care baby units were slightly lower for the water-born babies than admissions for other low-risk babies (Gilbert and Tookey, 1999). Other researchers (Burns, 2001; Lenstrup et al, 1987; Rush et al, 1996; & Waldenstrom et al, 1992) have made similar outcome reports.

A Canadian randomized control trial consisted of an experimental group of 393 individuals using the tub during labour and a control group of 392 individuals receiving conventional care. Birthing individuals experienced less pain after water immersion than their non-immersion counterparts and over 80% of the water immersion group said they would use the tub in subsequent labours (Rush et al, 1996).

In another Canadian retrospective cohort comparison of maternal and neonatal outcomes of water births in Alberta (Jakoby, Becker et al, 2018) found a low-risk maternal cohort of water births (1716) managed by midwives had equivalent or improved neonatal outcomes compared with a low-risk maternal cohort of land or traditional births (21 320).

Hodgson (2020) found that water births attended by RMs in British Columbia are not associated with higher rates of adverse neonatal outcomes than conventional births attended by midwives.

Standard:	Guideline for the Use of Water in Labour and Birth
Approved By:	CMNL
Date Approved:	March 2020
Date to be Reviewed:	March 2023
Effective Date:	March 2020



A small randomized controlled trial of 274 women compared the use of warm water immersion in the first stage of labour to their standard of care that excluded tub use (Eckert, Turnbull & MacLennan, 2001). They reported no differences between groups in maternal or neonatal morbidity or mortality with two exceptions. Babies born in the water immersion in labour group required more resuscitation efforts, and women who were randomized to the control group rated their overall experience of childbirth more positively. Interestingly, there were no differences between groups in APGAR scores, NICU admissions, and neonatal infections. The authors' conclusions that the use of water for labour and birth may contribute to adverse outcomes should be viewed with considerable caution.

(Remove this space)There are several methodological problems with this study. These results are not congruent with the findings of several other larger trials of similar design and their statistical analysis does not support their recommendations. It is clear more research is needed into this form of care.

Cluett ER, Burns E. (2009) conducted a Cochrane Database Systematic Review which included 12 trials (3243 individuals). Water immersion during the first stage of labour was associated with a significant reduction in epidural/spinal analgesia needs, without adversely affecting labour duration, operative delivery rates, or neonatal wellbeing. One trial showed that immersion in water during the second stage of labour increased birthing individuals' satisfaction with their birth experience. Further research is needed to assess the effect of immersion in water on maternal and neonatal morbidity. Results for the first stage of labour showed there was a significant reduction in the epidural/spinal/paracervical analgesia/anaesthesia rate among individuals allocated to water immersion compared to controls. There was also a reduction in duration of the first stage of labour.

### **Potential Advantages of Water Immersion**

- The buoyancy of water enables a labouring and birthing individual to move more easily;
- Blood pressure is lowered;
- Comfort and relaxation may be enhanced;
- Maternal sense of control may increase, which in turn enhances emotional well-being;
- Warm water increases maternal relaxation reducing pain perception;
- The need for pharmacological pain relief may be reduced;
- Length of labour may be reduced;
- Improved perineal stretching may reduce trauma.

Standard:	Guideline for the Use of Water in Labour and Birth
Approved By:	CMNL
Date Approved:	March 2020
Date to be Reviewed:	March 2023
Effective Date:	March 2020



## Potential Disadvantages of Water Immersion

- Decrease in uterine contraction strength and frequency, especially if used before active labour is established;
- Maternal hyperthermia may contribute to fetal hypoxemia;
- Neonatal hypothermia is possible if water temperature is too cool;
- Blood loss estimation and assessment is difficult in the water;
- Risk of acquiring blood-borne infection.

## Recommended Criteria for the Use of a Water Pool

- An uncomplicated pregnancy of at least 37 weeks gestation;
- Established active labour (i.e. regular contractions; dilation of the cervix (4 cm in nulliparas and 5 cm in multiparas) and descent of the presenting part);
- Normal fetal heart rate.

## Contraindications for Birth in a Water Pool

- Pre-term labour (<37 completed weeks);
- Maternal infection with a blood-borne pathogen such as Hepatitis B or C or HIV
- Maternal fever;
- Atypical or abnormal fetal heart rate;
- Mobility problems that may prevent leaving the pool when necessary;
- Caution should be used when considering water immersion if sedation has been administered to the individual as individual responses to sedation vary; the person must be able to get in and out of the tub without difficulty and be fully conscious and aware of the surroundings while in the water. Client should never be left alone.

## Recommendations for the Use of Water Immersion for Labour and Birth

- Midwives should discuss the potential advantages and disadvantages of water immersion for labour and birth with each individual prior to labour.
- An Infection Control protocol needs to be in place.
- The client's vital signs and the fetal heart rate must be within normal limits and documented prior to entering the pool.
- The fetal heart should be monitored according to accepted guidelines.
- Use of a waterproof Doppler device is recommended.
- The water temperature should be monitored and maintained between 36 and 37.5 C to prevent hypo- or hyperthermia. The temperature may be monitored with a floating thermometer.

Standard:	Guideline for the Use of Water in Labour and Birth
Approved By:	CMNL
Date Approved:	March 2020
Date to be Reviewed:	March 2023
Effective Date:	March 2020



- The client's temperature should be monitored and the person should leave the water if the body temperature exceeds 37.5 degrees C.
- The birthing person should be encouraged to maintain adequate hydration and leave the pool to urinate at regular intervals.
- The individual should be asked to leave the water if there are any concerns about the baby's well-being.
- An alternative birth place should be set up close to the pool.
- The water should be kept as clean as possible. Stool and blood clots must be removed from the tub immediately. The tub should be drained, cleaned and refilled if the pool is being used over a number of hours or if contaminants cannot be easily removed.
- The baby should be born completely underwater with no air contact until the head is brought to the surface, as air and temperature change may stimulate breathing and lead to water aspiration.
- At birth, the baby's head must be brought to the surface immediately. Care should be taken to avoid undue traction on the cord.
- Care should be taken to maintain the newborn's temperature to prevent hypo- or hyperthermia.
- Clients should be informed of the potential risks of a waterbirth if meconium is present.
- Intramuscular injection should not be given under water.
- The placenta may be best delivered outside of the tub to accurately assess maternal bleeding.
- Birth pools that are being used in hospital or that will be used again by another birthing person should be cleaned between uses in accordance of the infection control protocol.
- An education manual for second birth attendants and other interested maternity providers is implemented.

The midwife is responsible for using her clinical judgment, responding appropriately to problems that may arise, and for documenting the actions.

## References

Alderdice, R., Renfrew, M., & Marchant, S. (1995). Labour and birth in water in England and Wales: Survey report. *British Journal of Midwifery*, 3, 375 – 382.

Standard:	Guideline for the Use of Water in Labour and Birth
Approved By:	CMNL
Date Approved:	March 2020
Date to be Reviewed:	March 2023
Effective Date:	March 2020



Beaulieu, C. (2011). Hydrotherapy in Labour and Birth: A Manual for Health Professionals in Canada. Retrieved from

[http://www2.cfpc.ca/local/user/files/%7BEB4FC68D-9DAE-4FEAB997-FA0ED07546B3%7D/Hydrotherapy\\_in\\_Labour\\_and\\_Birth.pdf](http://www2.cfpc.ca/local/user/files/%7BEB4FC68D-9DAE-4FEAB997-FA0ED07546B3%7D/Hydrotherapy_in_Labour_and_Birth.pdf)

Box D., & Cochran D. (1992). Safe reduction in administration of naloxone to newborn infants: an observational study. *Acta Paediatrica*, 95(9), 1083-6.

Burns, E. (2001). Waterbirth. *MIDIRS Midwifery Digest*. Supplement 2, S10 – S13.

Burns, E., & Kitzinger, S. (2000). *Midwifery Guidelines for Use of Water in Labour*. Oxford: Brookes University.

BCWH (2007). *Water for labour/birth guideline*. Vancouver, BC: Department of Midwifery, BC Women's Hospital & Health Centre.

Canterbury District Health Board. (2011). *The use of water in labour and birth*. Retrieved from [http://www.cdhb.health.nz/Hospitals-Services/Health-Professionals/maternity-careguidelines/Documents/GLM0037 Water Birth.pdf](http://www.cdhb.health.nz/Hospitals-Services/Health-Professionals/maternity-careguidelines/Documents/GLM0037%20Water%20Birth.pdf)

Cluett, E.R., & Burns, E. (2009). Immersion in water in labour and birth. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD000111. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000111.pub3.

Cluett, E., Pickering, R., Getliffe, K. & Saunders, N. (2004). Randomised control trial of labouring in water compared with standard of augmentation for management of dystocia in first stage of labour. *British Medical Journal*, 328, 314.

Eckert, K., Turnbull, D., & MacLennan, A. (2001). Immersion in water in the first stage of labor; A randomized controlled trial. *Birth*, 28 (2), 84-93.

Enkin, Keirse, Neilson, Crowther, Duley, Hodnett and Hofmeyr (Eds) (2000). *Control of pain in labour. A Guide to Effective Care in Pregnancy and Childbirth Third Edition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Gilbert R.E. & Tookey, P.A. (1999). Perinatal mortality and morbidity among babies delivered in water: Surveillance study and postal survey. *British Medical Journal*, 319 (7208), 483-487.

Grant, Gilbert J, MD. (2013) *Pharmacologic management of pain during labor and delivery*. Uptodate. [www.uptodate.com](http://www.uptodate.com).

Harper, B. (2002). Taking the plunge: Re-evaluating waterbirth temperature guidelines. *MIDIRS Midwifery Digest*, 12(4), 506-508.

Harper, B. (2006). *Guideline for a Safe Water Birth*. Waterbirth International.

Standard:	Guideline for the Use of Water in Labour and Birth
Approved By:	CMNL
Date Approved:	March 2020
Date to be Reviewed:	March 2023
Effective Date:	March 2020



[www.waterbirth.org](http://www.waterbirth.org)

Harmsworth, G. (1994). Safety first. *Nursing Times*, 90, 31-32.

Jacoby, S. Becker, G. et al, Water Birth Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes Among Midwifery Clients in Alberta, Canada, from 2014 to 2017: A Retrospective Study. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* June 2019 Volume 41, Issue 6, Pages 805–812.

Johnson, P. (1996). Birth under water – to breathe or not to breathe. *BJOG*, 103, 202-208.

Herderson, Jane et al. (2014). Labouring women who used a birthing pool in obstetric units in Italy: prospective observational study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 14-17.  
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/bmcpregnancychildbirth>

Hileman, Michelle. (2011). Clearing murky waters: An evidence-based clinical practice guideline for hydrotherapy in labor and waterbirth. *Waterbirth International*.[www.waterbirth.org](http://www.waterbirth.org)

Hodgson, Z.G. et al. Water Birth and Perinatal Outcome in British Columbia: A Retrospective Cohort Study, *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2020 Feb;42(2):150-155. doi: 10.1016/j.jogc.2019.07.007. Epub 2019 Dec 13.

Lenstrup C., Schantz, A., & Berget, A. (1987) Warm tub bath during delivery. *Acta Obstetrica Gynecologica Scandinavia*, 66, 709-712.

Mattingly J.E., D'Alessio J., & Ramanathan J. (2003). Effects of obstetric analgesics and anesthetics on the neonate: a review. *Paediatric Drugs*, 5(9), 615.

Page, Lesley. (2000). *The New Midwifery: Science and Sensitivity in Practice*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone.

Rush, J., Burlock, S. & Lambert, K. (1996). The effect of whirlpool baths in labour: A randomized controlled trial. *Birth*, 23, 136-143.

Schrock, Steven D., MD, and Harraway-Smith, Carolyn. MD. (2012). Labor Analgesia. University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Saint Francis Family Medicine Residency, Memphis, Tennessee *American Family Physician*, 85 (5), 447-454.

South Australia Department of Health. (2010). Policy for First Stage Labour and Birth in Water in South Australia. Retrieved from

[http://www.sahealth.sa.gov.au/wps/wcm/connect/ae527200465ec14d8572ff2e504170d4/Directive\\_Birth\\_in\\_water\\_Dec2010\\_final.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CACHEID=ae527200465ec14d8572ff2e504170d4](http://www.sahealth.sa.gov.au/wps/wcm/connect/ae527200465ec14d8572ff2e504170d4/Directive_Birth_in_water_Dec2010_final.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CACHEID=ae527200465ec14d8572ff2e504170d4) Accessed February 17, 2014.

Standard:	Guideline for the Use of Water in Labour and Birth
Approved By:	CMNL
Date Approved:	March 2020
Date to be Reviewed:	March 2023
Effective Date:	March 2020



Textbook of Neonatal Resuscitation 7th edition 2016.

Waldenstrom, U. & Nilsson, C. (1992). Warm tub bath after spontaneous rupture of the membranes. Birth,19, 57-62.